TABLE OF CONTENTS

		Page
TABLE OF CONTENTS		i
LIST OF FIGURES		ii
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS		viii
CHAPTER		
I.	Introduction	1
	A. Electron Capture, Photon Emission, and Auger Decay, and the Q-Value	3
	B. Motivation	5
II.	Theory of Electron Capture	10
	A. Classical Over-Barrier Model	12
	B. Landau-Zener Model	14
	C. Absorbing Sphere Model	20
	D. Müller and Salzborn's Scaling Law	22
	E. The Langevin Cross Section	22
III.	Experimental Setup	25
	A. Beam-line Arrangement	25
	B. Production of Projectile Ions from the **CRYEBIS**	31
	C. The Octapole Ion Beam Guide	32
	D. Ion Beam and Target Preparation with Magnetic Separation	43
	E. Detection of Charge Transfer Events	56
	F. Determination of Ion Beam Energies at Very Low Velocities	59
IV.	Results and Discussion	67
	A. Data Acquisition	67
	B. Cross Section Determination	69
	C. Error Analysis	72
	D. Experimental Cross-Section Measurements	76
V.	Conclusions	113
VI.	Appendices	117
	A1. Simulation of the **OPIG** using SIMION	117
	A2. Data Analysis Code (.EVL) and (.COM) Files	120
REFERENCES		128

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure

2.1 Schematic diagram of the molecular potential energy curves for single electron capture.

2.2 A plot of the potential curves for a two-state problem.

2.3 A plot of V(R) versus R, with several potential curves with different crossing radii.

3.1 Beam line setup using the **CRYEBIS** and octa-pole ion beam guide, and retarding meshes to separate different charge species product ions.

3.2 Beam line setup using the **CRYEBIS** and octa-pole ion beam guide, and a charge-state analyzing magnet to separate different charge species product ions.

3.3 Side and end-on view of the **OPIG**, with the charge-state analyzing magnet and position sensitive detector.

3.4 Schematic diagram of the impedance matching circuit used on the **OPIG**.

3.5 A plot displaying the x-y projection of an Ar^{+8} ion as it passes through the **OPIG**.

3.6 Velocity vector diagram of an inelastic collision between a projectile ion A^{q+} and an atom B, in the center-of-mass coordinates.

3.7 The **OPIG**'s measurable angle limits, Θ_a as a function of E_{r0}/Q.

3.8 The setup of the two sets of quadrupole lenses, and the vertical and horizontal steerer on the downstream end of the **OPIG**.

3.9 Side and top views of the quadrupole lenses attached to the downstream end of the **OPIG**.

3.10 A diagram illustrating how the primary beam, X^{q+}, and single and double capture beams are focused on the detector.

3.11 Cross-sectional view of the **OPIG** and gas cell.

3.12 A plot of the count rate (per second) vs. the percentage of the ion beam measured from the back channel plate of the beam viewer.

3.13 A plot of the count rate (per second) vs. the percentage of the ion beam, with an improved fit to the data.

3.14 The count rate of the primary, single, and double-capture beams, and the sum plotted as a function of the target pressure.

3.15 The count rate of the primary, single, and double-capture beams, and the sum plotted as a function of the target pressure from 2 to $10 \times e^{-5}$ mb.

3.16 The single capture count rate versus target pressure, and a linear fit to the data.

3.17 The double capture count rate versus target pressure, and a linear fit to the data.

3.18 Schematic diagram of the electronics used for the position sensitive detector.

3.19 Diagram showing the configuration of the 4 meshes used in retarding the ion beam for calibrating the energy of ions extracted from the **CRYEBIS**.

3.20 An ion beam cut-off curve generated using a set of retarding meshes, and a derivative of the cut-off curve with a Gaussian fit.

3.21 An ion beam cut-off curve generated using the **OPIG**, and a derivative of the cut-off curve with a Gaussian fit.

3.22 A cut-off curve for a N^{5+} ion beam, using the retarding meshes (the electron beam current on the **CRYEBIS** set to 6.7 mA) and a derivative of the cut-off curve, and a Gaussian fit to the data.

3.23 A cut-off curve for a N^{6+} ion beam, using the retarding meshes (the electron beam current on the **CRYEBIS** set to 6.7 mA) and a derivative of the cut-off curve, and a Gaussian fit to the data.

3.24 A cut-off curve for a N^{7+} ion beam, using the retarding meshes (the electron beam current on the **CRYEBIS** set to 6.7 mA) and a derivative of the cut-off curve, and a Gaussian fit to the data.

4.1 Windows showing the x, y, r, sum, 2-dimensional position spectra, and the x projection of the 2-dimensional position spectra on the VAX station with data from a typical measurement displayed.

4.2 Windows showing the gated primary, single capture, double capture, sum, and total counts as a function of ramp voltage, with data from a typical measurement displayed.

4.3 A representative graph for comparison between cross sections measured at Kansas State University and those of Okuno, and three simple theoretical models, displaying total single and double electron capture cross sections versus the collision energy in the laboratory frame for Ar^{8+} on H_2.

4.4 Single capture cross section from this study compared with single capture cross sections measured by Meyer, and Panov for the $N^{7+} + H_2$ system.

4.5 Total single and double electron capture cross sections plotted versus the collision energy for Ar^{5+} + He. The solid line is the single capture classical over-barrier model.

4.6 Total single and double electron capture cross sections plotted versus the collision energy for Ar^{6+} + He. The solid line is the single capture classical over-barrier model.

4.7 Total single and double electron capture cross sections plotted versus the collision energy for Ar^{7+} + He. The solid line is the single capture classical over-barrier model.

4.8 Total single and double electron capture cross sections plotted versus the collision energy for Ar^{8+} + He. The solid line is the single capture classical over-barrier model.

4.9 Total single and double electron capture cross sections plotted versus the collision energy for Ar^{9+} + He. The solid line is the single capture classical over-barrier model.

4.10 Total single and double electron capture cross sections plotted versus the collision energy for Ar^{11+} + He. The solid line is the single capture classical over-barrier model.

4.11 Total single and double electron capture cross sections plotted versus the collision energy for $Ar^{5+} + H_2$. The solid line is the single capture classical over-barrier model.

4.12 Total single and double electron capture cross sections plotted versus the collision energy for $Ar^{6+} + H_2$. The solid line is the single capture classical over-barrier model.

4.13 Total single and double electron capture cross sections plotted versus the collision energy for $Ar^{7+} + H_2$. The solid line is the single capture classical over-barrier model.

4.14 Total single and double electron capture cross sections plotted versus the collision energy for $Ar^{8+} + H_2$. The solid line is the single capture classical over-barrier model.

4.15 Total single and double electron capture cross sections plotted versus the collision energy for $Ar^{9+} + H_2$. The solid line is the single capture classical over-barrier model.

4.16 Total single and double electron capture cross sections plotted versus the collision energy for $Ar^{11+} + H_2$. The solid line is the single capture classical over-barrier model.

4.17 Total single and double electron capture cross sections plotted versus the collision energy for $N^{3+} + H_2$. The solid line is the single capture classical over-barrier model.

4.18 Total single and double electron capture cross sections plotted versus the collision energy for $N^{4+} + H_2$. The solid line is the single capture classical over-barrier model.

4.19 Total single and double electron capture cross sections plotted versus the collision energy for $N^{5+} + H_2$. The solid line is the single capture classical over-barrier model.

4.20 Total single and double electron capture cross sections plotted versus the collision energy for $N^{6+} + H_2$. The solid line is the single capture classical over-barrier model.

4.21 Total single and double electron capture cross sections plotted versus the collision energy for $N^{7+} + H_2$. The solid line is the single capture classical over-barrier model.

4.22 Total single and double electron capture cross sections plotted versus the collision energy for Ne^{5+} + He. The solid line is the single capture classical over-barrier model.

4.23 Total single and double electron capture cross sections plotted versus the collision energy for Ne^{6+} + He. The solid line is the single capture classical over-barrier model.

4.24 Total single and double electron capture cross sections plotted versus the collision energy for Ne^{7+} + He. The solid line is the single capture classical over-barrier model.

4.25 Total single and double electron capture cross sections plotted versus the collision energy for Ne^{8+} + He. The solid line is the single capture classical over-barrier model.

4.26 Total single and double electron capture cross sections plotted versus the collision energy for Ne^{9+} + He. The solid line is the single capture classical over-barrier model.

4.27 Total single and double electron capture cross sections plotted versus the collision energy for O^{2+} + He. The solid line is the single capture classical over-barrier model.

4.28 Total single and double electron capture cross sections plotted versus the collision energy O^{2+} + H_2. The solid line is the single capture classical over-barrier model.

4.29 Total single and double electron capture cross sections plotted versus the collision energy O^{2+} + Ar. The solid line is the single capture classical over-barrier model.

4.30 Total single and double electron capture cross sections plotted versus the collision energy O^{2+} + Ne. The solid line is the single capture classical over-barrier model.

4.31 Total single and double electron capture cross sections plotted versus the collision energy Xe^{26+} + H_2. The solid line is the single capture classical over-barrier model (simple model).

A.1 A SIMION simulation, showing a side view of the quadrupoles and vertical and horizontal steerers. Ar^{8+} ions, with an initial energy of 320 eV, and azimuthal angles ranged from -10 and 10 degrees, are focused in the vertical plane.

A.2 A SIMION simulation, showing a side view of the quadrupoles and vertical and horizontal steerers. Ar^{8+} ions, with an initial energy of 320 eV, and elevation angles ranged from -10 and 10 degrees, are focused before the analyzing magnet in the horizontal plane.

A.3 A SIMION simulation, showing a three dimensional view of the quadrupoles and vertical and horizontal steerers. Ar^{8+} ions, with an initial energy of 320 eV, and azimuthal angles between -10 and 10 degrees, are focused in the horizontal plane.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

There are many people that helped me through the years I have spent at Kansas State University whom I would like to express my gratitude to. I'd like to thank my advisor, Brett DePaola for his insightful thoughts, encouragement, and patience. I'd also like to thank Brett and his wife Sue for at least a few dozen wonderful meals.

I'd like to thank my entire committee, for the time and effort spent reading this document, and for providing useful, and needed corrections. In particular, I'd like to thank Lew Cocke, who was very involved in this research project, and was a great help during the year Brett was on sabbatical, and many times thereafter. I'd also like to thank Professor Okuno for constructing the octa-pole ion beam guide, and also for explaining many of its features and principles of operation.

I'd like to thank my good friend Brian Phipps, who, as an undergraduate, assisted in my research project over the course of a couple years. I'd like to thank all of the support staff, especially Steve Kelly for his help with various electronic related issues, and for some great stories. Mike Wells was also incredibly helpful in suggesting ways to trouble shoot problems in the lab, and offer tips on improvements that could be made to my beam-line (not to mention all his help with crossword puzzles). I'd like to thank Charles Fehrenbach for a great deal of good advice, and assistance with my experiment, especially for all the time he spent tuning ion beams for me. I'd like to thank the machine shop personnel. In particular, I'd like to thank Bob Geering, who was always willing to take a moment to offer good advice, and help out when he could. I'd like to thank Kevin Carnes, Hai Nguyen, Richard Bredy, Xavier Flechard, and Erge Edgü-Fry

for all their help with XSYS, and data acquisition programming. I would also like to thank Alicia Allbaugh for helping with editing this document.

Thomas Erhenreich, Paul Gibson, Vince Needham, and Howard Camp helped at different stages of my research as well, which I would like to acknowledge. Many of the people I've mentioned so far, not only have helped a great deal with my research, but also are wonderful friends, and for that I am very grateful.

I also thank my family. My father and mother have always shown a great deal of support and love. I feel truly blessed to have them as parents. My brothers and sisters, Amy, William, Nicholas, and Kelly, have also been a great resource of love and strength.